IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO: 23877 of 2004

Between:

K. Rama Rao, S/o. Late Appalasuri, Hindu,
Plot No.48, Srivisakha B. Colony, Srikakulam - 532 001.,

Srikakulam District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Regional Transport Authority, Srikakulam, Srikakulam District.
Rep. by its Secretary.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the inaction of the respondent in considering and disposing of the applications of the petitioner dt.28-8-2004, which are registered under R.Nos.5222/A1/2004 and 5223/A1/2004 in its Office, as illegal, improper, unjust and failure to exercise its duties with a direction to the respondent to consider the said applications of the petitioner, immediately and to grant such other relief or reliefs.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.T.VENKATA RAMANA

Counsel for the Respondent: GP FOR TRANSPORT

The Court at the admission stage, made the following:

_

_

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

-

-

This writ petition is filed to declare the action of the respondent in not considering and disposing of the applications of the petitioner dated 28.08.2004, which are registered under R.Nos.5222/A1/2004 and 5223/A1/2004 by the respondent, as illegal and arbitrary.

2. The facts are not in dispute. The learned counsel for the petitioner contended that even though the petitioner made two applications for grant of two pucca stage carriage permits, the respondent has not considered the same and therefore, the present writ petition.

3. The learned Government Pleader for Transport appearing for the respondent contended that appropriate decision has to be taken by the respondent in accordance with law.

4. The writ petitioner applied for grant of two pucca stage carriage permits and though they are registered as 522/A2/2004 and 523/A1/2004 in the respondents' office on 28.08.2004, no orders have been passed. The respondent has to take a decision in accordance with rules to grant pucca

stage carriage permits.

5. Therefore, the respondent is directed to take appropriate decision and pass orders by placing the applications of the petitioner in the next meeting or within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, which ever is earlier.

6. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

K.C.BHANU, J

22nd December, 2004.

SKM

TO

1. The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Srikakulam, Srikakulam District.

2. Two C.Cs to the GP for Transport, AP High Court

Buildings, High Court of AP, Hyderabad (O.U.T.)

3. Two C.D. Copies.